

The Great Reset

12th October 2020

V 1.0

Is there a Great Reset, or are these guys conspiracy theory nut jobs?

Convincing evidence that there is a Great Reset agenda developed in Davos and that it will cause us tremendous harm

We were happy to finally see a hard hitting news piece from Sky Australia, which accurately describes the Great Reset agenda and how it impacts our national politics. We wholeheartedly support Sky's analysis and conclusions. We also appreciate that it is very hard to accept that our (UK) political system has gone so badly wrong and that our government appears to have contempt for its citizens. That is probably an all time first. Unfortunately, that is really the only rational conclusion you can reach when looking carefully at the actions and decisions of the past seven months. Below is a link to the Sky news piece and our attempt to explain what is happening.

https://www.skynews.com.au/details/_6199544116001?fbclid=IwAR1xfXKgLIJJDkMKRI7m6eVleMeJZR-EGA-7xoXY7fTXCQDjxFFVD-Tc3rY

This essay could run to hundreds of pages as the roots of our current predicament reach back a very long way, but we have tried to keep it short. This means some definitive statements in place of more nuanced positions. Also (unlike pro lock-downers), we have provided many of our data sources for you to check.

Although our assertion appears far-fetched, ultimately this is nothing more (or less) than the age old story of insiders grabbing power from the people for their own nefarious ends. This episode is unique in that fear has been used to convince people that it is in their own best interests to surrender their liberty voluntarily. The other unique aspect is that public health (soon to morph into the environment) has been used as the pretext for this move. Typically, the motivation behind a revolution would be that people are oppressed and only a benign totalitarian regime can address this injustice.

Let's start with COVID, the shortest possible summary of the COVID event, is;

- The IC model with 510,000 deaths in an unmitigated pandemic (a theoretical construct) is ludicrously over-inflated¹;
- The COVID death figures were overstated by creating a new category of death "related to" COVID rather than from COVID and by not having a cut-off between the date of a COVID test and the date of death²;
- The high case numbers are largely meaningless and based on people who weren't ill (that is what pillar 2 means) and having the cycle threshold set to a high level. Infectious cases are probably around 1/9 of the case numbers that the government presents³.

All of those clumsy "errors" happened against a backdrop which made it clear that policy developed by SAGE sub-committee SPI-B (mainly left and far left academics) was to significantly over-emphasize the risks of COVID to ensure people complied with rules⁴. In other words, to generate fear and use that fear as a tool to control, or influence people.

All of those mistakes meant that lockdown was more readily accepted.

There are arguments about the science, but the most important point is to accept that COVID risks were systematically over-estimated, the details over by how much are not particularly relevant.

A very short summary of the actual science is;

1. Epidemiological theory is against lockdown⁵ and the vast majority of epidemiologists are against lockdown⁶.
2. Data shows that lockdown doesn't work⁷.
3. Most importantly, even ONS analysis shows that years of life lost from policy is 1.5 : 1 for COVID, our analysis indicates that a ratio of around 5:1 is probably closer to the truth⁸. Further, a large portion of the COVID life years lost are unavoidable versus policy related life years lost which are avoidable.
4. COVID-19 will be no more serious (and likely less serious) than 1957 and 1968 influenza outbreaks⁹.
5. The NHS in the UK was not overwhelmed, the peak ICU capacity utilisation was 50% and peak general bed usage was 29%, Nightingale hospitals were largely unused¹⁰.

Despite all of the evidence and the work of some of the world's leading scientists (Levitt, Gupta, Henighan and the thousands signing the Babbington Declaration), the government's lockdown policy rumbles on even into October 2020.

The most natural explanation is bungling incompetence under a leader who wears his unwillingness to read documents as a badge of honour.

Ninety-nine times out of a hundred we would probably agree with the diagnosis of incompetence, but several things suggest that there may have been some intent behind these mistakes (in addition to genuine mistakes), the reasons to believe this are;

- A number of individuals and organisations (particularly the World Economic Forum) are pointing to the benefits of lockdown in terms of climate change and the Great Reset;
- The mistakes all point in one direction, increasing the level of fear and increasing the likelihood and acceptability of lockdown;
- The mistakes actually take quite a lot of effort, just consider the significant efforts required to rewrite well established death classification instructions¹¹.

We have included a collage at the end of statements by WEF praising the effects of lockdown. You also see a number of individuals linking climate change and COVID, most significantly there is now widespread talk of a climate lockdown. We are reasonably certain that when the wheels finally fall off the COVID excuse, the leadership will "pivot" to climate lockdown¹².

Taking a step even further back you find a number of radical groups that would see the lockdowns as being beneficial, as follows;

Far Left

The far left make no secret of their hatred for neoliberalism. The furthest of the far left have a policy objective of “dismantling capitalism progressively and lay[ing] the foundations for a federal, socialist Britain”¹³. Interestingly, they acknowledge that environmental and far left agendas should work together “any such strategy and programme must include far-reaching policies and action to reduce carbon emissions”.

Radical Greens

Whilst all of us are concerned with the planet and whilst the UK is making good progress in reducing CO2 emissions, there is a group for whom the pace of reduction will never be enough, no matter what that pace is. Rather than speed up the reduction in emissions they will pursue a radical solution couched in the language of an emergency. In many ways the “scientific” methods of COVID and climate panic are identical, questionable models producing exponential growth, social media saturated with key words (knife-edge and tipping point) and panic and radical policy moves causing more harm than good.

Set out below is an extract from a 2019 essay by left wing journalist Leigh Phillips printed in openDemocracy¹⁴;

The coronavirus affects us all.

Help us hold our leaders to account and plan for a future beyond lockdown.

"Factories, trains, airports, schools would work one-third of their normal time; electricity, heating and hot water would be available for 8 hours a day; cars may be driven one day out of three; we would work only 13 hours per week," Milanovic concludes, "all in order to produce only a third as many goods and services that the West is producing now."

The link between radical left and ultra green is cemented in another article by Mariana Mazzucato which refers to climate lockdowns. Although the article is about how these should be avoided, we believe that the real purpose is to introduce this as a valid concept/policy option.

But surely business is opposed to this?

Not necessarily, looking at the above description, there are clearly sectors that benefit very significantly. Obviously the COVID crisis has made pharma a stand-out winner, we have already transferred GBP billions to pharma companies for useless ventilators and largely pointless vaccines and a testing programme that identifies individuals 90% of whom are not ill and likely not infectious.

The next major beneficiaries are the technology companies as they mop up on remote work and remote schooling. The losing sectors like the entertainment sectors, leisure travel, and energy are not likely to be in the smoke filled room at Davos. In parallel to deciding how to close down production, the radical left/greens have decided what consumption is bad. There are many references to leisure travel and red meat seems to be particularly offensive. Also the UK Government is now hinting that arts should retrain to do cyber. So the new elite will happily close those sectors down, but Big Tech and Big Pharma are likely to have a louder voice in Davos.

The monopolistic technology sector needs to be onboard as it has enormous powers to censor free speech by applying its own secretive in-house rules on what is considered to be inappropriate. Effectively they can shut down anyone or anything at will.

In parallel to big business, there will be a select group of financial speculators, who stand to make outsized gains by knowing about and being able to influence government policy. This is particularly the case when policy moves in unpredictable and illogical directions (lockdown).

What does that all mean for us?

We would very much recommend reading everything that you can about the Great Reset directly from the World Economic Forum's website¹⁵ and see how much you find appealing. Their agenda is hidden behind a lot of fluffy wording about a green/blue/circular/inclusive recovery.

We believe that we have already had a reasonable view of the Great Reset in practice in 2020 and that has meant; the cancellation of our basic rights, a two tier policing system tolerating only "on message" activities, the collapse of the economy, cancer treatments denied and tens of million pushed back into poverty in the third world. If this is the prelude, the main event will likely be very much worse.

We tell our friends to take a ruler draw a line from October 2019 to 2020 and now extrapolate to 2021 – do you like what you see?

Political globalism is an important part of this process as the further the elite is away from national politics, the greater is their opportunity for hijacking the policy agenda. There are no meaningful multi-national elections so this elite will never be held to account by voters, whereas in national politics there is always at least the prospect of being voted out.

Certain select groups will be invited in to express an opinion at elite gatherings on our behalf and those groups will invariably express support, perhaps with a staged fight over a pointless policy tweak. That role will be played by selected NGOs.

We don't have all of the answers but we think that the future looks something like this;

- Many "unnecessary" sectors of the economy (leisure travel and food and catering and possibly retail) closed with the people in those sectors transferred to universal basic income (UBI);
- Living in smart cities once offices and retail largely cleared out;
- Schooling carried out remotely;
- The UBI will be spent mainly on technology/e-retail service from one of around 10 monopoly like service providers (who are the centre of policy making).

So essentially, we are facing a fork in the road with two radically different paths;

- a) Old world incremental change through a democratic process coupled with companies moving in line with (or ahead of) consumer demand. This approach has lifted millions out of poverty and in recent years have been achieved together with meaningful reductions in CO2 (in the U.K.).

- b) A top down world view imposed by an unelected cabal of big business, financiers, politicians and red/green zealots. Centralised power requires that individuals lose their rights and dissent will likely be stopped. Centralised power generates wealth for rents seekers, but nothing for the broader population and is invariably a disaster over time.

Hey, but what can I do?

Unless we (the silent majority) actively engage in politics we will by default slip into option b), which we believe will be a nightmare for many. Although we are all tied up with everyday concerns, those daily activities will probably turn out to be meaningless in a year or so if option b) is enacted. Now really is the time to take a few hours out and away from the screen and think about the Great Reset and whether you want to support, or oppose it. One of the most dangerous ways to deal with it will be to accept one salami slice at a time and passively accept just one more lockdown, or one more right withdrawn.

The Great Reset “elite” have invested a huge amount of time into this project and are very well funded. Our view is that they are perfectly happy to break many eggs to achieve their Great Reset omelette and today they effectively control almost all of the levers of state power.

As far we can tell our parliamentarians have (barring some heroic exceptions) left the building. This means that to resist the Great Reset we need the entirely new political movements such as initiatives undertaken by Fox, Kurten and Simon Dolan. There would be new political lines drawn between people that believe in the nation state, democracy, personal liberty and generally have conservative and tolerant views and those who do not believe in those things. Even today, it is obvious that conservative views are being repressed and that process does not look good with extrapolation.

This is really the only important decision to make, haggling over the details of PCR testing and other questions is a side show, lockdown is not about scientific evidence.

We have added several sources here, which we spent a long time in piecing together, it is possibly not useful to read them all – the most important one is the World Economic Forum’s own site (which should be read with the suspicion usually applied to corporate marketing material).

We hope you find this short essay helpful as it has soaked up very many hours of research. Please look at our two videos, the first one (explaining the inexplicable) suggests that all the mistakes were not actually mistakes and the second one (would I lie to you) traces the thinking behind climate lockdown and pulls back the curtain on some of the PSYOPs that we have been subjected to.

We are a skeleton organisation and would be happy to work with others, we are looking for skills in managing a distribution list, creating content and infographics, researching specific topics and manging Excel data sets. We can be reached on thinkingslow1@gmail.com

Many thanks and good luck

Thinking Slow Partnership.

¹ [https://www.dropbox.com/s/35el2dfdgdi46on/5.a.](https://www.dropbox.com/s/35el2dfdgdi46on/5.a.%20How%20accurate%20are%20the%20number%20of%20UK%20and%20US%20Deaths%20Predicted%20by%20Ferguson%20et%20al%202020_by_Michael_Levitt-v2.pdf?dl=0)

[%20How%20accurate%20are%20the%20number%20of%20UK%20and%20US%20Deaths%20Predicted%20by%20Ferguson%20et%20al%202020_by_Michael_Levitt-v2.pdf?dl=0](https://www.dropbox.com/s/35el2dfdgdi46on/5.a.%20How%20accurate%20are%20the%20number%20of%20UK%20and%20US%20Deaths%20Predicted%20by%20Ferguson%20et%20al%202020_by_Michael_Levitt-v2.pdf?dl=0)

² <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8619125/UK-announces-11-coronavirus-deaths-early-count-taking-total-number-victims-46-639.html>

³ <https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/infectious-positive-pcr-test-result-covid-19/>

⁴ https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/882722/25-options-for-increasing-adherence-to-social-distancing-measures-22032020.pdf

⁵ <http://upmc-biosecurity.org/website/resources/publications/2006/2006-09-15-diseasemitigationcontrolpandemicflu.html%E2%80%A6>

⁶ <https://gbdeclaration.org/>

⁷ <https://www.thelancet.com/action/showPdf?pii=S2589-5370%2820%2930208-X>

⁸ https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/907616/s0650-direct-indirect-impacts-covid-19-excess-deaths-morbidity-sage-48.pdf

⁹ [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/article/PIIS1473-3099\(20\)30484-9/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/article/PIIS1473-3099(20)30484-9/fulltext)

¹⁰ <https://www.nao.org.uk/report/readying-the-nhs-and-adult-social-care-in-england-for-covid-19/>

¹¹ https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/877302/guidance-for-doctors-completing-medical-certificates-of-cause-of-death-covid-19.pdf

¹² <https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/radical-green-overhaul-to-avoid-climate-lockdown-by-mariana-mazzucato-2020-09>

¹³ Communist Party of Britain

¹⁴ <https://www.opendemocracy.net/en/oureconomy/degrowth-delusion/>

¹⁵ <https://www.weforum.org/great-reset/>